



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/685,609

10/15/2003

Antoine Heron

069208.0113

5100

23640

7590

03/06/2008

BAKER BOTTS, LLP

910 LOUISIANA

HOUSTON, TX 77002-4995

EXAMINER

WARE, DEBORAH K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1651

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/06/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

debbie.allen@bakerbotts.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/685,609	Applicant(s) HERON, ANTOINE	
	Examiner Deborah K. Ware	Art Unit 1651	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Deborah K. Ware.

(3) Larissa A. Piccardo.

(2) David M. Naff.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: claims of record at time of last action.

Identification of prior art discussed: art of record at time of last action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claims in relation to the cited disclosures and Applicants' Representative proposed to put claim 2 into claim 1. Examiners indicated they will reconsider the rejection in view of the proposed change once it has been filed and received by the Examiners.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Deborah K. Ware/
Examiner, Art Unit 1651

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required